

# Mark Scheme (Results) Summer 2010

GCE

## GCE Statistics S3 (6691/01)

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at [www.edexcel.com](http://www.edexcel.com).

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Mark Scheme that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>

Summer 2010

Publications Code UA024774

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Edexcel Ltd 2010

### Hypothesis Tests (Final M1A1)

For an incorrect comparison (e.g. probability with z value) even with a correct statement and/or comment award M0A0

For a correct or no comparison with more than one statement one of which is false  
Award M0A0 (This is compatible with the principle above of contradictory statements being penalised)

Apply these rules to all questions



June 2010  
 Statistics S3 6691  
 Mark Scheme

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q1	<p><math>H_0: \mu = 80, H_1: \mu &gt; 80</math></p> $z = \frac{83 - 80}{\frac{15}{\sqrt{100}}} = 2$ <p><math>2 &gt; 1.6449</math> (accept 1.645 or better)</p> <p>Reject <math>H_0</math> <u>or</u> significant result <u>or</u> in the critical region            Managing director's claim is supported.</p>	<p>B1,B1</p> <p>M1A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>7</b></p>
<p>2<sup>nd</sup> M1A1</p> <p>Critical Region</p>	<p>1<sup>st</sup> B1 for <math>H_0</math>. They must use <math>\mu</math> not <math>x, p, \lambda</math> or <math>\bar{x}</math> etc</p> <p>2<sup>nd</sup> B1 for <math>H_1</math> (must be <math>&gt; 80</math>). Same rules about <math>\mu</math>.</p> <p>1<sup>st</sup> M1 for attempt at standardising using 83, 80 and <math>\frac{15}{\sqrt{100}}</math>. Can accept <math>\pm</math>.</p> <p>May be implied by <math>z = \pm 2</math></p> <p>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for + 2 only</p> <p>3<sup>rd</sup> B1 for <math>\pm 1.6449</math> seen (or probability of 0.0228 or better)</p> <p>2<sup>nd</sup> M1 for a correct statement about "significance" or rejecting <math>H_0</math> (or <math>H_1</math>) based on their <math>z</math> value and their 1.6449 (provided it is a recognizable critical value from normal tables) <u>or</u> their probability (<math>&lt; 0.5</math>) and significance level of 0.05.            Condone their probability <math>&gt; 0.5</math> compared with 0.95 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> M1</p> <p>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for a correct contextualised comment. Must mention "director" and "claim" <u>or</u> "time" and "use of Internet". No follow through.</p> <p>If no comparison or statement is made but a correct contextualised comment is given the M1 can be implied.            If a comparison is made it must be <u>compatible</u> with statement otherwise M0            e.g. comparing 0.0228 with 1.6449 is M0 or comparing probability 0.9772 with 0.05 is M0            comparing -2 with - 1.6449 is OK provided a correct statement accompanies it            condone -2 <math>&gt;</math> -1.6449 provided their statement correctly rejects <math>H_0</math>.</p> <p>They may find a critical region for <math>\bar{X}</math>: <math>\bar{X} &gt; 80 + \frac{15}{\sqrt{100}} \times 1.6449 = \text{awrt } 82.5</math></p> <p>1<sup>st</sup> M1 for <math>80 + \frac{15}{\sqrt{100}} \times (z \text{ value})</math></p> <p>3<sup>rd</sup> B1 for 1.645 or better</p> <p>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 82.5</p> <p>The rest of the marks are as per the scheme.</p>	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q2	<p style="text-align: center;">[ <math>P \sim N(90,9)</math> and <math>J \sim N(91,12)</math> ]</p> <p>(a) <math>(J - P) \sim N(1, 21)</math>  <math>P(J &lt; P) = P(J - P &lt; 0)</math>  <math>= P\left(Z &lt; \frac{0-1}{\sqrt{21}}\right)</math>  <math>= P(Z &lt; -0.2182\dots)</math>  <math>= 1 - 0.5871 = 0.4129</math>  calculator (0.4136....) <span style="float: right;">awrt (<b>0.413 ~ 0.414</b>)</span></p> <p>(b) <math>X = (J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_{60}) - (P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_{60})</math>  <math>E(X) = 60 \times 91 - 60 \times 90 = 60</math> <span style="float: right;">[stated as <math>E(X) = 60</math> or <math>X \sim N(60, \dots)</math>]</span>  <math>\text{Var}(X) = 60 \times 9 + 60 \times 12 = 1260</math>  <math>P(X &gt; 120) = P\left(Z &gt; \frac{120-60}{\sqrt{1260}}\right)</math>  <math>= P(Z &gt; 1.69030\dots)</math>  <math>= 1 - 0.9545 = 0.0455</math> <span style="float: right;">awrt (<b>0.0455</b>)</span></p>	<p>M1, A1</p> <p>dM1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(4)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(5)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>9</b></p>
Use of means	<p>(a) 1<sup>st</sup> M1 for attempting <math>J - P</math> and <math>E(J - P)</math> or <math>P - J</math> and <math>E(P - J)</math>  1<sup>st</sup> A1 for variance of 21 (Accept 9 + 12). Ignore any slip in <math>\mu</math> here.  2<sup>nd</sup> dM1 for attempting the correct probability and standardising with their mean and sd.  This mark is dependent on previous M so if <math>J - P</math> ( or <math>P - J</math>) is not being used score M0  If their method is not crystal clear then they must be attempting <math>P(Z &lt; -ve \text{ value})</math> or <math>P(Z &gt; +ve \text{ value})</math> i.e. their probability <u>after</u> standardisation should lead to a prob. &lt; 0.5  so e.g. <math>P(J - P &lt; 0)</math> leading to 0.5871 is M0A0 unless the M1 is clearly earned.  2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.413 or 0.414</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>The first 3 marks may be implied by a correct answer</b></p> <p>(b) 1<sup>st</sup> M1 for a clear attempt to identify a correct form for <math>X</math>. This may be implied by correct variance of 1260  B1 for <math>E(X) = 60</math>. Can be awarded even if they are using <math>X = 60J - 60P</math>. Allow <math>P - J</math> and <math>-60</math>  1<sup>st</sup> A1 for a correct variance. If 1260 is given the M1 is scored by implication.  2<sup>nd</sup> M1 for attempting a correct probability and standardising with 120 and their 60 and 1260  If the answer is incorrect a full <u>expression</u> must be seen following through their values  for M1 e.g. <math>P\left(Z &gt; \frac{120 - \text{their } 60}{\sqrt{\text{their variance}}}\right)</math>. If using <math>-60</math>, should get <math>P\left(Z &lt; \frac{-120 - -60}{\sqrt{\text{their variance}}}\right)</math></p> <p>Attempt to use <math>\bar{J} - \bar{P}</math> for 1<sup>st</sup> M1, <math>E(\bar{J} - \bar{P}) = 1</math> for B1 and <math>\text{Var}(\bar{J} - \bar{P}) = 0.35</math> for A1  Then 2<sup>nd</sup> M1 for standardisation with 2, and their 1 and 0.35</p>	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q3 (a)	$E \sim N(0, 0.5^2)$ or $X \sim N(w, 0.5^2)$ $P( E  < 0.6) = P\left( Z  < \frac{0.6}{0.5}\right)$ or $P( X - w  < 0.6) = P\left( Z  < \frac{0.6}{0.5}\right)$ $= P( Z  < 1.2)$ $= 2 \times 0.8849 - 1 = 0.7698$ awrt <b>0.770</b>	M1 A1 (2)
(b)	$\bar{E} \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{64}\right)$ or $\bar{X} \sim N\left(w, \frac{0.5^2}{16}\right)$ $P( \bar{E}  < 0.3) = P\left( Z  < \frac{0.3}{\frac{1}{8}}\right)$ or $P( \bar{X} - w  < 0.3) = P\left( Z  < \frac{0.3}{\frac{1}{8}}\right)$ $= P( Z  < 2.4)$ $= 2 \times 0.9918 - 1 = 0.9836$ awrt <b>0.984</b>	M1 M1, A1 A1 (4)
(c)	$35.6 \pm 2.3263 \times \frac{1}{8}$ <b>(35.3, 35.9)</b>	M1 B1 A1, A1 (4) <b>10</b>
(a)	1 <sup>st</sup> M1 for identifying a correct probability (they must have the 0.6) and attempting to standardise. Need   . This mark can be given for 0.8849 - 0.1151 seen as final answer. 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 0.770. NB an answer of 0.3849 or 0.8849 scores M0A0 (since it implies no   ) <b>M1 may be implied by a correct answer</b>	
(b)	1 <sup>st</sup> M1 for a correct attempt to define $\bar{E}$ or $\bar{X}$ but must attempt $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ . Condone labelling as $E$ or $X$ This mark may be implied by standardisation in the next line. 2 <sup>nd</sup> M1 for identifying a correct probability statement using $\bar{E}$ or $\bar{X}$ . Must have 0.3 and    1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for correct standardisation as printed or better 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 0.984 <b>The M marks may be implied by a correct answer.</b>	
Sum of 16, not means	1 <sup>st</sup> M1 for correct attempt at suitable sum distribution with correct variance ( $= 16 \times \frac{1}{4}$ ) 2 <sup>nd</sup> M1 for identifying a correct probability. Must have 4.8 and    1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for correct standardisation i.e. need to see $\frac{4.8}{\sqrt{4}}$ or better	
(c)	M1 for $35.6 \pm z \times \frac{0.5}{\sqrt{16}}$ B1 for 2.3263 or better. Use of 2.33 will lose this mark but can still score $\frac{3}{4}$ 1 <sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 35.3 2 <sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt 35.9	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks																																
Q4 (a)	<table border="1" data-bbox="309 304 1238 555"> <tr> <td>Distance rank</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>6</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Depth rank</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math> d </math></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>d^2</math></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="223 627 351 683"><math>\sum d^2 = 8</math></p> <p data-bbox="223 689 526 862"> <math display="block">r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 8}{7 \times 48}</math> <math display="block">= \frac{6}{7} = 0.857142</math> </p> <p data-bbox="1145 801 1289 840">awrt <b>0.857</b></p>	Distance rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Depth rank	1	2	4	3	6	7	5	$ d $	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	$d^2$	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	M1 M1 M1A1 M1 A1 (6) B1 B1 M1 A1ft (4) <b>10</b>
Distance rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7																											
Depth rank	1	2	4	3	6	7	5																											
$ d $	0	0	1	1	1	1	2																											
$d^2$	0	0	1	1	1	1	4																											
(a)	<p data-bbox="223 1240 1053 1276">1<sup>st</sup> M1 for an attempt to rank the depths against the distances</p> <p data-bbox="223 1276 1053 1312">2<sup>nd</sup> M1 for attempting <math>d</math> for their ranks. Must be using ranks.</p> <p data-bbox="223 1312 917 1361">3<sup>rd</sup> M1 for attempting <math>\sum d^2</math> (must be using ranks)</p> <p data-bbox="223 1361 885 1406">1<sup>st</sup> A1 for sum of 8 (or 104 for reverse ranking)</p> <p data-bbox="223 1406 1500 1496">4<sup>th</sup> M1 for use of the correct formula with their <math>\sum d^2</math>. If answer is not correct an expression is required.</p> <p data-bbox="223 1496 1500 1541">2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for awrt (<math>\pm</math>) 0.857. Sign should correspond to ranking (so use of 104 should get -0.857)</p>																																	
(b)	<p data-bbox="223 1576 1500 1612">1<sup>st</sup> B1 for both hypotheses in terms of <math>\rho</math>, <math>H_1</math> must be one tail and compatible with their ranking</p> <p data-bbox="223 1612 718 1653">2<sup>nd</sup> B1 for cv of 0.8929 (accept <math>\pm</math>)</p> <p data-bbox="223 1653 1428 1697">M1 for a correct statement relating their <math>r_s</math> with their cv but cv must be such that <math> cv  &lt; 1</math></p> <p data-bbox="223 1697 1436 1859"> A1ft for a correct contextualised comment. Must mention “researcher” and “claim” <u>or</u> “distance (from bank)” and “depth (of water)”  Follow through their <math>r_s</math> and their cv (provided it is <math> cv  &lt; 1</math>)  Use of “association” is A0 </p>																																	

Question Number	Scheme					Marks																																																					
Q5	<table border="1" data-bbox="220 293 1214 465"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="220 293 512 353">Finances</th> <th data-bbox="517 293 687 353">Worse</th> <th data-bbox="692 293 863 353">Same</th> <th data-bbox="868 293 1038 353">Better</th> <th data-bbox="1043 293 1214 353"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 353 512 394">Income</td> <td data-bbox="517 353 687 394"></td> <td data-bbox="692 353 863 394"></td> <td data-bbox="868 353 1038 394"></td> <td data-bbox="1043 353 1214 394"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 394 512 434">Under £15 000</td> <td data-bbox="517 394 687 434">10.54</td> <td data-bbox="692 394 863 434">10.54</td> <td data-bbox="868 394 1038 434">12.92</td> <td data-bbox="1043 394 1214 434">34</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 434 512 474">£15 000 and above</td> <td data-bbox="517 434 687 474">20.46</td> <td data-bbox="692 434 863 474">20.46</td> <td data-bbox="868 434 1038 474">25.08</td> <td data-bbox="1043 434 1214 474">66</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 474 512 515"></td> <td data-bbox="517 474 687 515">31</td> <td data-bbox="692 474 863 515">31</td> <td data-bbox="868 474 1038 515">38</td> <td data-bbox="1043 474 1214 515">100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="220 515 1337 600"> <math>H_0</math> : State of finances and income are independent (not associated)  <math>H_1</math> : State of finances and income are not independent (associated) </p> <table border="1" data-bbox="220 640 823 965"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="220 640 341 734"><math>O_i</math></th> <th data-bbox="346 640 485 734"><math>E_i</math></th> <th data-bbox="489 640 676 734"><math>\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}</math></th> <th data-bbox="681 640 823 734"><math>\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}</math></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 734 341 775">14</td> <td data-bbox="346 734 485 775">10.54</td> <td data-bbox="489 734 676 775">1.1358....</td> <td data-bbox="681 734 823 775">18.59..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 775 341 815">11</td> <td data-bbox="346 775 485 815">10.54</td> <td data-bbox="489 775 676 815">0.0200....</td> <td data-bbox="681 775 823 815">11.48..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 815 341 855">9</td> <td data-bbox="346 815 485 855">12.92</td> <td data-bbox="489 815 676 855">1.1893...</td> <td data-bbox="681 815 823 855">6.269..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 855 341 896">17</td> <td data-bbox="346 855 485 896">20.46</td> <td data-bbox="489 855 676 896">0.5851...</td> <td data-bbox="681 855 823 896">14.12..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 896 341 936">20</td> <td data-bbox="346 896 485 936">20.46</td> <td data-bbox="489 896 676 936">0.0103...</td> <td data-bbox="681 896 823 936">19.55..</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="220 936 341 965">29</td> <td data-bbox="346 936 485 965">25.08</td> <td data-bbox="489 936 676 965">0.6126...</td> <td data-bbox="681 936 823 965">33.53..</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="220 994 1337 1079"> <math>\sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = 3.553...</math> or <math>\sum \frac{O_i^2}{E_i} - 100 = 103.553... - 100 = 3.553...</math> (awrt <b>3.55</b>) </p> <p data-bbox="220 1086 1337 1126"><math>\nu = (3 - 1)(2 - 1) = 2</math></p> <p data-bbox="220 1133 1337 1173">cv is 5.991</p> <p data-bbox="220 1180 1337 1220">3.553 &lt; 5.991 so insufficient evidence to reject <math>H_0</math> or not significant</p> <p data-bbox="220 1227 1337 1267">There is no evidence of association between state of finances and income.</p>					Finances	Worse	Same	Better		Income					Under £15 000	10.54	10.54	12.92	34	£15 000 and above	20.46	20.46	25.08	66		31	31	38	100	$O_i$	$E_i$	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$	14	10.54	1.1358....	18.59..	11	10.54	0.0200....	11.48..	9	12.92	1.1893...	6.269..	17	20.46	0.5851...	14.12..	20	20.46	0.0103...	19.55..	29	25.08	0.6126...	33.53..	<p data-bbox="1342 383 1528 454">M1 A1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 546 1528 586">B1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 786 1528 826">M1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 860 1528 900">A1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1021 1528 1061">A1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1088 1528 1128">B1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1133 1528 1173">B1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1178 1528 1218">M1</p> <p data-bbox="1342 1223 1528 1263">A1</p> <p data-bbox="1481 1294 1528 1335"><b>10</b></p>
Finances	Worse	Same	Better																																																								
Income																																																											
Under £15 000	10.54	10.54	12.92	34																																																							
£15 000 and above	20.46	20.46	25.08	66																																																							
	31	31	38	100																																																							
$O_i$	$E_i$	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$																																																								
14	10.54	1.1358....	18.59..																																																								
11	10.54	0.0200....	11.48..																																																								
9	12.92	1.1893...	6.269..																																																								
17	20.46	0.5851...	14.12..																																																								
20	20.46	0.0103...	19.55..																																																								
29	25.08	0.6126...	33.53..																																																								
	<p data-bbox="220 1352 1337 1424">1<sup>st</sup> M1 for some use of <math>\frac{\text{Row Total} \times \text{Col.Total}}{\text{Grand Total}}</math>. May be implied by correct <math>E_i</math></p> <p data-bbox="220 1431 1337 1471">1<sup>st</sup> A1 for all expected frequencies correct</p> <p data-bbox="220 1476 1337 1547">B1 for both hypotheses. Must mention “state” or “finances” and “income” at least once Use of “relationship” or “correlation” or “connection” is B0</p> <p data-bbox="220 1554 1337 1594">2<sup>nd</sup> M1 for at least two correct terms (as in 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> column) or correct expressions with their <math>E_i</math></p> <p data-bbox="220 1599 1337 1639">2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for all correct terms. May be implied by a correct answer. (2 dp or better - allow eg 1.13...)</p> <p data-bbox="220 1644 1337 1684">3<sup>rd</sup> M1 for a correct statement linking their test statistic and their cv. Must be <math>\chi^2</math> not normal.</p> <p data-bbox="220 1688 1337 1783">4<sup>th</sup> A1 for a correct comment in context - must mention “state” or “finances” and “income” condone “relationship” or “connection” here but <b>not</b> “correlation”. No follow through. e.g. “There is no evidence of a relationship between finances and income”</p>																																																										

Question Number	Scheme	Marks																																																																						
Q6	<table border="1" data-bbox="225 309 1257 577"> <tr> <td>Distance from centre of site (m)</td> <td>0-1</td> <td>1-2</td> <td>2-4</td> <td>4-6</td> <td>6-9</td> <td>9-12</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>b - a</math></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No of artefacts</td> <td>22</td> <td>15</td> <td>44</td> <td>37</td> <td>52</td> <td>58</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>P(a \leq X &lt; b)</math></td> <td><math>\frac{1}{12}</math></td> <td><math>\frac{1}{12}</math></td> <td><math>\frac{1}{6}</math></td> <td><math>\frac{1}{6}</math></td> <td><math>\frac{1}{4}</math></td> <td><math>\frac{1}{4}</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>228 \times P(a \leq X &lt; b)</math></td> <td>19</td> <td>19</td> <td>38</td> <td>38</td> <td>57</td> <td>57</td> </tr> </table> <table border="1" data-bbox="225 613 967 1039"> <thead> <tr> <th>Class</th> <th><math>O_i</math></th> <th><math>E_i</math></th> <th><math>\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}</math></th> <th><math>\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}</math></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-1</td> <td>22</td> <td>19</td> <td><math>\frac{9}{19} = 0.4736\dots</math></td> <td>25.57...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1-2</td> <td>15</td> <td>19</td> <td><math>\frac{16}{19} = 0.8421\dots</math></td> <td>11.84...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2-4</td> <td>44</td> <td>38</td> <td><math>\frac{36}{38} = 0.9473\dots</math></td> <td>50.94...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4-6</td> <td>37</td> <td>38</td> <td><math>\frac{1}{38} = 0.0263\dots</math></td> <td>36.02...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6-9</td> <td>52</td> <td>57</td> <td><math>\frac{25}{57} = 0.4385\dots</math></td> <td>47.43...</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9-12</td> <td>58</td> <td>57</td> <td><math>\frac{1}{57} = 0.0175\dots</math></td> <td>59.01...</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="220 1046 847 1079"><math>H_0</math>: <u>continuous uniform</u> distribution <u>is</u> a good fit</p> <p data-bbox="220 1081 895 1115"><math>H_1</math>: <u>continuous uniform</u> distribution <u>is not</u> a good fit</p> <p data-bbox="220 1128 1326 1216"><math>\sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = \frac{313}{114} = 2.75</math> or <math>\sum \frac{O_i^2}{E_i} - 228 = 230.745\dots - 228 = \dots</math> (awrt <b>2.75</b>)</p> <p data-bbox="220 1225 379 1258"><math>\nu = 6 - 1 = 5</math></p> <p data-bbox="220 1267 1310 1310"><math>\chi^2_5(0.05) = 11.070</math> (ft their <math>\nu</math> i.e. <math>\chi^2_\nu(0.05)</math>)</p> <p data-bbox="220 1319 831 1355">2.75 &lt; 11.070, insufficient evidence to reject <math>H_0</math></p> <p data-bbox="220 1364 887 1400">Continuous uniform distribution is a suitable model</p>	Distance from centre of site (m)	0-1	1-2	2-4	4-6	6-9	9-12	$b - a$	1	1	2	2	3	3	No of artefacts	22	15	44	37	52	58	$P(a \leq X < b)$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$228 \times P(a \leq X < b)$	19	19	38	38	57	57	Class	$O_i$	$E_i$	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$	0-1	22	19	$\frac{9}{19} = 0.4736\dots$	25.57...	1-2	15	19	$\frac{16}{19} = 0.8421\dots$	11.84...	2-4	44	38	$\frac{36}{38} = 0.9473\dots$	50.94...	4-6	37	38	$\frac{1}{38} = 0.0263\dots$	36.02...	6-9	52	57	$\frac{25}{57} = 0.4385\dots$	47.43...	9-12	58	57	$\frac{1}{57} = 0.0175\dots$	59.01...	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>dM1A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1ft</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>12</b></p>
Distance from centre of site (m)	0-1	1-2	2-4	4-6	6-9	9-12																																																																		
$b - a$	1	1	2	2	3	3																																																																		
No of artefacts	22	15	44	37	52	58																																																																		
$P(a \leq X < b)$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$																																																																		
$228 \times P(a \leq X < b)$	19	19	38	38	57	57																																																																		
Class	$O_i$	$E_i$	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$	$\frac{O_i^2}{E_i}$																																																																				
0-1	22	19	$\frac{9}{19} = 0.4736\dots$	25.57...																																																																				
1-2	15	19	$\frac{16}{19} = 0.8421\dots$	11.84...																																																																				
2-4	44	38	$\frac{36}{38} = 0.9473\dots$	50.94...																																																																				
4-6	37	38	$\frac{1}{38} = 0.0263\dots$	36.02...																																																																				
6-9	52	57	$\frac{25}{57} = 0.4385\dots$	47.43...																																																																				
9-12	58	57	$\frac{1}{57} = 0.0175\dots$	59.01...																																																																				
	<p>1<sup>st</sup> M1 for calculation of at least 3 widths and attempting proportions/probs. <u>or</u> for 1:2:3 ratio seen</p> <p>1<sup>st</sup> A1 for correct probabilities</p> <p>2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for all correct expected frequencies</p> <p>2<sup>nd</sup> M1 for attempting <math>\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}</math> or <math>\frac{O^2}{E}</math>, at least 3 correct expressions or values.</p> <p>Follow through their <math>E_i</math> provided they are not all = 38</p> <p>3<sup>rd</sup> A1 for a correct set of calcs - 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> column. (2 dp or better and allow e.g. 0.94...)</p> <p>3<sup>rd</sup> dM1 <b>dependent on 2<sup>nd</sup> M1</b> for attempting a correct sum or calculation (must see at least 3 terms and +)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>The first three Ms and As can be implied by a test statistic of awrt 2.75</b></p> <p>4<sup>th</sup> M1 for a correct statement based on their test statistic (<math>&gt; 1</math>) and their cv (<math>&gt; 3.8</math>)</p> <p>Contradictory statements score M0 e.g. “significant” do not reject <math>H_0</math>.</p> <p>5<sup>th</sup> A1 for a correct comment suggesting that continuous uniform model is suitable. No ft</p>																																																																							

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
Q7	<p>(a) Label full time staff 1-6000, part time staff 1-4000 Use random numbers to select Simple random sample of 120 full time staff and 80 part time staff</p> <p>(b) Enables estimation of statistics / errors for each strata <u>or</u> “reduce variability” <u>or</u> “more representative” <u>or</u> “reflects population structure” <b>NOT</b> “more accurate”</p> <p>(c) <math>H_0: \mu_f = \mu_p, \quad H_1: \mu_f \neq \mu_p</math> (accept <math>\mu_1, \mu_2</math>) <math display="block">\text{s.e.} = \sqrt{\frac{21}{80} + \frac{19}{80}}, \quad z = \frac{52 - 50}{\sqrt{\frac{21}{80} + \frac{19}{80}}} = (2\sqrt{2})</math> <math display="block">= 2.828\dots</math> (awrt <b>2.83</b>)</p> <p>Two tailed critical value <math>z = 2.5758</math> (or prob of awrt 0.002 (&lt;0.005) or 0.004 (&lt;0.01)) [2.828 &gt; 2.5758 so] significant evidence to reject <math>H_0</math> There is evidence of a difference in policy awareness between full time and part time staff</p> <p>(d) Can use mean full time and mean part time ~ Normal</p> <p>(e) Have assumed <math>s^2 = \sigma^2</math> or variance of sample = variance of population</p> <p>(f) <math>2.53 &lt; 2.5758</math>, not significant <u>or</u> do not reject <math>H_0</math> So there is insufficient evidence of a difference in mean awareness</p> <p>(g) Training course has closed the gap between full time staff and part time staff’s mean awareness of company policy.</p>	<p>M1 M1 A1 (3)</p> <p>B1 (1)</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1,M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1 dM1 A1ft (7)</p> <p>B1 B1 (2)</p> <p>B1 (1)</p> <p>M1 A1ft (2)</p> <p>B1 (1)</p> <p><b>17</b></p>
	<p>(a) 1<sup>st</sup> M1 for attempt at labelling full-time and part-time staff. One set of correct numbers. 2<sup>nd</sup> M1 for mentioning use of random numbers 1<sup>st</sup> A1 for s.r.s. of 120 full-time and 80 part-time</p> <p>(c) 1<sup>st</sup> M1 for attempt at s.e. - condone one number wrong . NB correct s.e. = <math>\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}</math> 2<sup>nd</sup> M1 for using their s.e. in correct formula for test statistic. Must be <math>\frac{\pm(52-50)}{\sqrt{\frac{p}{q} + \frac{r}{s}}}</math> 3<sup>rd</sup> dM1 <b>dep. on 2<sup>nd</sup> M1</b> for a correct statement based on their normal cv and their test statistic 2<sup>nd</sup> A1 for correct comment in context. Must mention “scores” or “policy awareness” and types of “staff”. Award <b>A0</b> for a one-tailed comment. Allow ft</p> <p>(d) 1<sup>st</sup> B1 for mention of mean(s) <u>or</u> use of <math>\bar{X}</math>, provided <math>\bar{X}</math> clearly refers to full-time or part-time 2<sup>nd</sup> B1 for stating that distribution can be assumed normal e.g. “mean score of the test is normally distributed” gets B1B1</p> <p>(f) M1 for correct statement (may be implied by correct contextualised comment) A1 for correct contextualised comment. Accept “no difference in mean scores”. Allow ft</p> <p>(g) B1 for correct comment in context that implies training was effective. This must be supported by their (c) and (f). Condone one-tailed comment here.</p>	





Further copies of this publication are available from  
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467  
Fax 01623 450481

Email [publications@linneydirect.com](mailto:publications@linneydirect.com)

Order Code UA024774 Summer 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit [www.edexcel.com/quals](http://www.edexcel.com/quals)

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750  
Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH